## BEFORE THE PRINCIPAL BENCH NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL NEW DELHI CIRCUIT BENCH AT JODHPUR

Original Application No.  $32(T_{\rm HC})/2014$  (CWP No. 9503 of 2012) and

Original Application No.  $33(T_{HC})/2014$  (CWP No. 8923of 2012)

Kishan Paryavaran Sangaharsh Samiti, Jaipur Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.

## And

Gautam Chand Chopra Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. (DR.) P.C. MISHRA, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant (s)

: Appearance sheet not signed

Respondent No. 4

: Mr. V.K. Mathur, Adv.

Pollution Control Board

Mr. Manish Shishodia and Mr.

Shreyansh Mehta,

Advs.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item Nos. 4 & 5	Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondents No. 2 to 4 submit that the reply filed
March 5, 2014	in original application no. $32(T_{HC})/2014$ be treated as their reply in original application no.
	$31(T_{HC})/2014$ . We so direct. Let copy of the reply filed in that writ petition
	be furnished to all the Counsel appearing in this case within one week from today.
	Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 5 prays for further time to file reply. Let reply be filed within three weeks from
	today with advance copy to all the Counsel appearing in these cases. Liberty to file rejoinder
	thereto, if any, is granted within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant is also directed to inform the Counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 8 of the next date of hearing and the present order.

We must notice that the learned Counsel appearing for the State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) has fairly stated that there are number of industries who are working without consent of the Board and action is being taken against such industries.

The industries which are operating without obtaining consent of the State Board under the provisions of the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 as the case may be shall not be permitted to operate henceforth. The statutory duty of the Board under these statutes and even the constitutional duty of the Board and the State is to ensure that pollution is prevented as well as controlled. Their industries are operating and are carrying on business of dying and printing in the industrial estate of district Pali.

We see no reason why effective steps be not taken by the Board to ensure that the industries which are causing pollution and are operating in violation of the specific provisions and without obtaining consent of the Board should be permitted to continue to cause pollution. The Board shall file its reply-cum-report by the next date of hearing. The District Magistrate of the concerned area and the Rajasthan SPCB shall be responsible for the compliance of the this order forthwith.

List these matters for hearing on 2<sup>nd</sup> May, 2014.

(Swatanter Kumar)
JM (M.S. Nambiar)
(Prof. (Dr.) P.C. Mishra)
,EM (Dr. R.C. Trivedi)